

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	7
09/769,906	01/25/2001	Matthew Bruce Tropper	5001		_
75	90 01/05/2004		EXAMINER		٦
Matthew B. Tropper			PHAM, HUNG Q		
30 Southern Parkway Plainview, NY 11803			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	٦
			2172		- 1
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	1	ι

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/769,906	TROPPER, MATTH	ER, MATTHEW BRUCE					
,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	HUNG Q PHAM	2172						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl	y to a ition in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4, and 16-22</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9.☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  10.☐ Other:	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>8</u>	de la la						
		ALFORD KINDRI PRIMARY EXAMI						

Continuation of 5.

As argued by applicant, the Rivette "nowhere show or even suggests be claimed step of operating on the second generation data to identify or filter out at least one patent identified in the second generation data that is cited in the patent under test". Examiner respectfully traverses because of the following reasons:

the

As discussed in the Final Action, patent 1 of FIG. 5 is the "source patent", and patents 2-4, which are cited in patent 1 and considered as "first generation data identifying data corresponding to at least one patent in the database" which is cited in the source patent as patent under test. Patents 5-8 are cited in patent 2 as "second generation data identifying data corresponding to at least one patent in the database" which is cited in at least one patent identified by the first generation data. Rivette further discloses the patent citation module 1004 also performs a forward citation function. In performing the forward patent citation function, the patent citation module 1004 identifies, for a source patent, the patents in which the source patent was cited (Col. 87, lines 10-15). As seen, by using the forward function on patents 5-8 as source patents, patents 5-8 as the second generation will be operated by the forward function to identify if any of them are cited by patent 1 as patent under test. Thus, the forward function obviously could be used to operate on the second generation data to identify or filter out at least one patent identified in the second generation data that is cited in the patent under test. Therefore, the request for withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-2, 4 and 16-22 is respectfully traversed.